



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/806,831 04/05/2001		Frederic Bevilacqua	2590-30 7748			
23117	7590 05/20/2003					
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			EXAMINER			
1100 N GLE 8TH FLOOR	t		KIANNI, KAVEH C			
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22201-4714		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2877			
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-			_		Mc			
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/806,83	1	BEVILACQUA ET	BEVILACQUA ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
•		Kevin C Kia	anni	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHOTHE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perestore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steeply received by the Office later than three months after the model patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no ever . I reply within the statut riod will apply and will atute, cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be tory minimum of thirty (30) d expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cation to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timelom the mailing date of this considered timelom the mailing date of the constant of t	y. ommunication.			
1)	Paganansiya ta gammunication(a) filad on (07 May 2002						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on (oon final					
· —	,—	This action is r						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>22-41</u> is/are withd	drawn from cons	sideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 12-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) 8-11 is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) <u>22-41</u> are subject to restriction and on Papers	d/or election red	quirement.					
9)[] -	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) t	oe held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)⊟ ap	proved b) disapp	roved by the Examin	er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been	received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been	received in Applica	ation No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome		•		l application).			
а) ☐ The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional app	olication has been re	eceived.	,,			
Attachment		, .	- · - · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No()		ary (PTO-413) Paper No al Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 09/806,831

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Newly submitted claims 22-41 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:
- 1. Group I, claim 1-21, is directed to using optional signal processing, which may involve filtering and deconvolution operation to correct for the non-zero area of either the illumination source or the detector, allows for the precise determination of the said spatially-resolved reflectance searchable in class 385/12.
- 2. Group II, claims 22-27, is directed to extracting from the spatially resolved reflectance a phase function parameter that depends on both the first and second moments of polynomial expansion of the phase function searchable in class 385/3.
- 3. Group III, claims 28-41, is directed to a detector for detecting light backscatterd from the illuminated turbid medium and a processing unit for determining a spatially resolved reflectance based on the detected backscattered light and extracting from the spatially resolved reflectance a phase parameter searchable in class 385/27.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions Groups I, II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case group III invention can be as an optical filter for measuring

Page 2

optical loss in optical communication system rather than using a method claim for measuring reflected light in a turbid medium.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim22-41 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 8-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-7 and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu et al. (US 5452723).

Regarding claim 1, Wu teaches a method for local and superficial characterization of a turbid medium (shown at least in fig. 1; see col. 2, lines 39) using the following parameters: 1) the refractive index n of the turbid medium (see col. 6, lines

Application/Control Number: 09/806,831

Art Unit: 2877

62 and col. 7, lines 1-15), 2) the absorption coefficient μ_a of the turbid medium (col. 6, lines 59-60) 3) the reduced scattering coefficient μ_s of the turbid medium (col. 7, lines 1-8), 4) the phase function parameter λ =(1-g₂)/(1-g₁) of the turbid media (see col. 6, lines 63-65 and col. 10, lines 15-45; wherein the phase function parameter λ can be indicated from equation 13 by k(g')/k(g)=1-g'/1-g which can be written as (1-g₂)/(1-g₁) and more in detail is described in col. 7, line 53-col. 10, line 46+); g₁, and g₂ are the first two moments of the Legendre polynomial development of the phase function p(see col. 8, lines 2-10 and col. 7, lines 36-42), comprising the steps of:

- measuring the spatially-resolved reflectance R(ρ) of the turbid medium (ρ being the source detector distance) by any means (see fig. 4, items source 40 and detector 46 forming spatially-resolved reflectance with respect to turbid medium, see col. 6, lines 8-27 which is similar to applicant's spatially-resolved reflectance of turbid medium shown in fig. 1 which based on Monte Carlo theory of reflectance in which the intensity of the reflected light U_d based on source-detector distance Z is measured, see col. 8, lines 1-47; see also col. 7, lines 36-43 and col. 15, lines 13-17), comprising an illumination beam as a source and an optical detector, which, by using optional signal processing, which may involve filtering and deconvolution operation to correct for the non-zero area of either the illumination source or the detector, allows for the precise determination of the said spatially-resolved reflectance R(p),(see fig. 1, items 10, 16, 32, 29 and 30; see col. 4, lines 46-col. 5, line 14)- mathematically processing R(p) to compute at least one of the said parameters: n, μ_a, μ_s, y and/or the variations (see col. 10, lines 1-34), in time and/or space, of at least one of the said parameters: Δ n, Δ μ_a, Δ μ_b, Δ y, (col. 10, line 6)

Page 5

Art Unit: 2877

whereby an "Inverse problem", which consists in extracting the optical coefficients from the spatially resolved reflectance data is solved (see col. 12, equation 21b and col. 14, lines 1-21), and whereby a "direct problem" consists in computing the spatially resolved reflectance from the values of the optical coefficients n, μ_a , μ_s , y involved in a model of propagation of the light in turbid medium (col. 9, lines 13-22) and whereby the said a model propagation incorporates a Legendre polynomial development to the second order of the said "phase function" (see col. 8, lines 2-10 and col. 9, lines 50-56), and whereby the said "phase parameter" y is introduced in the computation (col. 10, lines 20-46; wherein the phase function parameter y can be indicated from equation 13 by k(g')/k(g)=1-g'/1-g which can be written as $(1-g_2)/(1-g_1)$ and more in detail is described in col. 7, line 53-col. 10, line 46+). However, Wu does not specifically teach wherein the above "phase parameter" y is introduced as an independent parameter. Nevertheless, Wu calculates the phase function parameters g in which k is a constant that depends on g (see col. 9, lines 1-21 and 65-6) and, as goal, a relationship between K(g) and g is found to define the reflection/phase function parameter in which the relationship is described in equation 13 consisting of k(g')/k(g)=1-g'/1-g=s. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art when the invention was made to modify Wu's formula 13 by expressing Wu's phase function expression k(g')/k(g)=1-g'/1-g, with an equal expression $(1-g_2)/(1-g_1)=y$, as independent parameter, since such a expression would provide a complete and uniform characterization of turbid medium to aid diagnosis (see col. 1, lines 42-46).

With regard to claim 2, Wu further teaches wherein said spatially resolved reflectance is measured by a probe comprising at least one optical fiber carrying the light from the source unit to the turbid medium and at least one optical fiber collecting the reflected light and carrying it to detection unit (see col. 3, lines 13-32), whereby the combination of a variety of emitting fibers and of receiving fibers yields a set of distances n at which the reflectance $R(\rho)$ is measured (see col. 7, lines 36-43 and col. 15, lines 13-17).

With regard to claim 3, Wu further teaches wherein said spatially resolved reflectance R(p) is measured for a set of values of p, by using a probe composed of optical fibers in any of the following configurations: one emitting optical fiber and a set of optical receiving fibers a set of optical emitting fibers and one optical receiving fiber a set of optical emitting fibers and a set of optical receiving fibers giving the spatially resolved reflectance R(p) at a variety of source-detector distances p (see fig. 1, items 26 and 28-332; also col. col. 3, lines 13-32 and col. 7, lines 36-44) and wherein the emitting and receiving fibers are arranged in one of the following configurations:

- on a line, - on crossed lines, - on a circle, - on an ellipse, - on crossed ellipses

- on a disk, a rectangle, or any surface, as a dense and contiguous arrangement of fibers, on any pattern resulting from the combination of the above mentioned patterns (see fig. 11-12, items emitting and receiving arrangement results; also col. 16, lines 35-48 and col. 17, lines 15-21).

Application/Control Number: 09/806,831

Art Unit: 2877

With regard to claim 4, Wu further teaches wherein said spatially resolved reflectance is measured by an optical and electronic micro-system comprising a collimated or focused beam as illuminating source and ID or 2D arrays of optical detectors (see fig. 1 and 4, item 28, in which the 2D/3D micro-optic system 26 reflects and receive light; also col. 3, lines 13-32).

With regard to claim 5, Wu further teaches wherein the probe is put in contact with the turbid medium (see fig. 1, item 28, in which the fiber optic probe(s) 28 is in contact to the turbid medium).

With regard to claim 6, Wu further teaches wherein said spatially resolved reflectance is measured by a non-contact system, comprising at least one of the following combination of optical systems: a fixed optical system to irradiate the turbid medium with a collimated or focused beam forming the illuminating source and a fixed optical system comprising an imaging system forming the image of the measured area of the turbid medium on a said "optical detector", which can be formed of ID or 2D array of optical detectors, whereby this second optical system can be identical to the first one and whereby the array of optical detectors can be either one of the following systems: a set of optical fibers, an optical and electronic micro-system (MOEM), a fixed optical system for the collimated beam illuminating source and a scanned optical system for the said "optical detector", a scanning optical system for the collimated beam illuminating source and a fixed optical system for the said "optical detector", a scanning optical

system for the collimated or focused beam used as an illuminating source and a scanning optical system for the said "optical detector" (see at least fig. 1 and 4, item 28, in which the 2D/3D micro-optic system 26 reflects and receive light through fiber probes; also col. 3, lines 13-32)..

Page 8

With regard to claim 7, Wu further teaches wherein the absorption coefficient ft, the reduced scattering coefficient tt,' and the phase function parameter y are determined by fitting the measured spatially-resolved reflectance $R(\rho, \mu_a, \mu_s, y)$ to a set of discretized data obtained by using Monte Carlo simulations , or to interpolating functions giving a continuous approximation of the discretized data obtained by Monte Carlo simulations (see col. 4, lines 3-26 and col. 16, lines 15-34), and whereby said "Monte Carlo simulations" are based on a photon propagation model comprising a phase function approximated by a Legendre polynomial development limited to the second order (col. 8, lines 1-11).

With regard to claim 12-17, Wu further teaches wherein the illuminating source is a broadband source or a white light source and the detector unit comprises a spectrograph or any wavelength analysis system to measure the wavelength dependence of at least one of the parameters R(n, μ_a , μ_s , y) (see fig. 1, items 16 and 30; also col. 9, line 21 and col. 10, lines 1-33); wherein said turbid medium is a biological medium (see abstract); wherein the measurement and processing is repeated at different locations of the sample, to build images of any one of the said parameters

(n, μ_a , μ_s , y) (see fig. 12, scattering distances; also col. 9, line 21 and col. 10, lines 1-33); a source, a detection unit, reference means, signal processing means, a probe comprising at least one optical fiber connecting said source unit to the turbid medium and at least one optical fiber connecting the turbid medium to the said detection unit, and reference means b) where the distance between the source and the detector is close to one transport mean free path (see fig. 1 and 4, items 12-32 and 45; also col. 7, lines 1-11); a) comprising an optical and electronic micro-system comprising at least one illuminating source, at least one detector, signal processing means and reference means, b) where the distance between the source and the detector is close to one transport mean free path (see fig. 1 and 4, items 12-32 and 45); a) comprising a collimated or focused beam used as an illuminating source, at least an optical detector for the detection unit, a fixed or scanning optical system for the illuminating source and a fixed or scanning optical system for the said "optical detector", signal processing means and reference means, b) where the distance between the source and the detector is close to one transport mean free path (see fig. 1 and 4, items 12-32 and 45; also col. 7, lines 1-11).

With regard to claim 18-19, Wu further teaches the distance between the collimated or focused optical beam used as illuminating source and the emitting point connected to an optical detector varies from 0. 1 to 2mm. for application to biological media and to turbid media having a transport mean free path similar to biological media (see col. 7, lines 1-11); the control of the homogeneity of the sample over the probed

area is performed which can be carried out according to the following procedure: in the apparatus, disposing two illuminating fibers symmetrically in regard to the collecting fibers (see fig. 4, item fibers 40/46); comparing the reflectance curves for each illuminating fiber to detect the heterogeneity of the investigated region or obstructions beneath the fibers; and, if the two curves are sufficiently close, validating the measurement and calculating the average of the two curves (see col. 21, line 50-col. 22, line 22 and lines 4234-67).

With regard to claim 20, Wu further teaches: 1) in order to perform relative intensity measurements, the differences of transmitted intensity between each fiber determined by performing a measurement on a turbid phantom illuminated uniformly or a diffusing sphere of perfectly uniform properties (see col. 25, lines 49-57);

2) in order to perform absolute intensity measurements, a calibration performed on a turbid medium of known optical properties (see col. 19, lines 60-67), which can be

a) solid or liquid turbid medium which properties have been measured by other techniques, or reported in the literature (see col. 19, lines 60-67; wherein tissue is a liquid/solid turbid media measured at least according to equation 34),

realized according to any one of the following recipes:

b) water suspension of micro-spheres of known size distribution and refractive index (see col. 18, lines 433-65 and col. 19, lines 60-67).

With regard to claim 21, Wu further teaches wherein the optical and electrical microsystem is put into contact with the turbid medium (shown in fig. 1, item probe 28; see also col. 3, lines 55-57).

Response to Amendment/Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on May 7, 2003 have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive.

Applicant alleges (page 15, 3rd parag.) that Wu does not teach measuring the spatially-resolved reflectance of the turbid medium. The examiner responds that Wu teaches measuring the spatially-resolved reflectance of the turbid medium, see fig. 4, items source 40 and detector 46 forming spatially-resolved reflectance with respect to turbid medium, see col. 6, lines 8-27 which is similar to applicant's spatially-resolved reflectance of turbid medium shown in fig. 1 which based on Monte Carlo theory of reflectance in which the intensity of the reflected light U_d based on source-detector distance Z is measured, see col. 8, lines 1-47; see also col. 7, lines 36-43 and col. 15, lines 13-17.

In response to applicant's question (page 15, last parag.-page 16) of the first/second moments g1 and g2 are not obvious to the moments of Wu's g and g', examiner responds that the reflected light photons (fn) in which the moments g and g' are calculated for obtaining the relationship phase function $(1-g_2)/(1-g_1)$, in which parameter λ can be indicated from equation 13 by k(g')/k(g)=1-g'/1-g which can be

Application/Control Number: 09/806,831 Page 12

Art Unit: 2877

written as (1-g₂)/(1-g₁) see col. 9, line 2-col. 10, line 33). The examiner further states that mere using of different terminology for the same parameters of functional formula does not make the end result of the theoretical formula incorrect.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL

6. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaveh Cyrus Kianni whose telephone number is (703) 308-1216.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font, can be reached at (703) 308-4881.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-7722, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

(703) 308-7721, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza 4, 2021 South Clark Place, Arlington, VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Kevin Cyrus Kianni Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2877

Frank Font
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group Art Unit 2877

May 8, 2003